# NAAC

## Institutional Assessment and Accreditation

(Effective from July 2017)

## Accreditation - (Cycle: 3)

## MES KEVEEYAM COLLEGE VALANCHERY, Valanchery, Kerala

**Track ID : KLCOGN13442**

**AISHE-ID : C-8037**

# Graphical Representation based on Quantitative & Qualitative Metrics

**NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL**

An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission

P.O. Box No. 1075, Nagarbhavi, Bengaluru - 560 072, INDIA

# Graphical Representation based on Quantitative & Qualitative Metrics

# Metrics($Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$) Weightage scored by the institution in percentage



Fig: The criterion wise distribution of weighted scores ($Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$) for the institution

Comparison of $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ in Key Indicators based on performance(GPA)

Fig: The comparison of Key Indicators ($Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$) based on grade point average(GPA) extracted from the institution

# Comparison of LPKI and HPKI based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$

GPA

| Category | Value |
|---|---|
| Curricular Plannin... | 3.5 |
| Academic Flexibility | 4 |
| Curriculum Enrich... | 4 |
| Feedback System | 4 |
| Student Enrollme... | 3.5 |
| Catering to Stude... | 3.4 |
| Teaching- Learnin... | 3.4 |
| Teacher Profile an... | 3.13 |
| Evaluation Proces... | 3.5 |
| Student Satisfacti... | 3.82 |
| Resource Mobiliza... | 3.4 |
| Research Publicati... | 0.63 |
| Extension Activities | 4 |
| Collaboration | 4 |
| Physical Facilities | 3.83 |
| IT Infrastructure | 3.7 |
| Maintenance of Ca... | 3.5 |
| Student Support | 3.04 |
| Student Progression | 4 |
| Student Participati... | 4 |
| Alumni Engageme... | 3.6 |
| Institutional Visio... | 4 |
| Faculty Empower... | 3.04 |
| Financial Manage... | 4 |
| Internal Quality A... | 3.03 |
| Institutional Value... | 3.72 |

● LPKI (0–2.0)   ● HPKI (3.01–4.0)

Fig: Comparison of LPKI(0–2.0) and HPKI(3.01–4.0) based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$

# Distribution of High Performance Key Indicators (3.01-4.0)

Financial Management and Resource Mobilization:
4.4%

Institutional Vision and Leadership:
4.4%

Student Participation and Activities:
4.4%

Student Progression:
4.4%

Physical Facilities:
4.2%

Collaboration:
4.4%

Curricular Planning and Implementation:
3.8%

Academic Flexibility:
4.4%

Curriculum Enrichment:
4.4%

Feedback System:
4.4%

Student Satisfaction Survey:
4.2%

Extension Activities:
4.4%

Fig: High Performance Key Indicators(3.01-4.0) for the institution

# Distribution of Average Performance Key Indicators (2.01-3.0)

Institutional Distinctiveness:
18.3%

Student Performance and Learning Outcomes:
16.8%

Innovation Ecosystem:
15.3%

Best Practices:
18.3%

Library as a Learning Resource:
15.3%

Strategy Development and Deployment:
15.9%

Fig: Average Performance Key Indicators(2.01-3.0) for the institution

# Distribution of Low Performance Key Indicators (0-2.0)



Research Publications and Awards: 100.0%

Fig: Low Performance Key Indicators(0-2.0) for the institution

# Comparison of Criteria based on Criteria Grade Point Average



Fig: Comparison of Criteria based on Criteria Grade Point Average

Performance of metrics in Curricular Aspects, Teaching-learning and Evaluation

Fig: Performance of metrics in Criteria I & II

Fig: Performance of metrics in Criteria III & IV

Performance of metrics in Student Support and Progression, Governance, Leadership and Management, Institutional Values and Best Practices

Fig: Performance of metrics in Criteria V,VI & VII

Graphical representation of Strengths(4) and Weakness(0) of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria I,II and III)



Fig: Graphical representation of Strengths(4) and Weakness(0) of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria I,II and III)

Graphical representation of Strengths(4) and Weakness(0) of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria IV,V,VI and VII)



Fig: Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria IV,V,VI and VII)

# Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria I,II and III)



Fig: Graphical representation of Strengths(4) and Weakness(0) of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria I,II and III)

# Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria IV,V,VI and VII)



Fig: Graphical representation of Strengths and Weakness of the institution based on $Q_nM$ & $Q_lM$ (Criteria IV,V,VI and VII)